For the Northern District of California

II	N THE	E UNIT	ED ST	ATES	DIS'	TRI	CT	COUR'	Γ
FOR	THE	NORTH	ERN D	ISTRI	ICT (OF	CAL	IFORI	NIA

CORNELL MORROW,

No. C 10-03962 CW (PR)

Petitioner,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondent.

Petitioner, a state prisoner, filed the present petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He states that his appeal is still pending before the state appellate court. (Pet. at 3, 5.)

The exhaustion requirement applicable to federal habeas petitions is not satisfied if there is a pending post-conviction proceeding in state court. See 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)-(c); Sherwood v. Tomkins, 716 F.2d 632, 634 (9th Cir. 1983). If a postconviction challenge to a criminal conviction is pending in state court, a potential federal habeas petitioner must await the outcome of the challenge before his state remedies are considered exhausted. See id. Moreover, the rule in Sherwood applies whether or not the issue raised in the pending state petition is included in the federal petition, <u>see id.</u>, for the reason that a pending state court challenge may result in the reversal of the petitioner's conviction, thereby mooting the federal petition. <u>See id.</u> (citations omitted).

As Petitioner has a pending post-conviction proceeding in state court, the instant petition for a writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED without prejudice to refiling once all state court post-conviction challenges to Petitioner's conviction have been completed, and all claims Petitioner wishes to raise in federal court have been presented to the Supreme Court of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)-(c); Rose v. Lundy, 455 U.S. 509, 522 (1982) (holding every claim raised in federal habeas petition must be exhausted).

Petitioner's "Motion for a Request to Stay State Court Proceedings" (docket no. 4) is DENIED.

The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment, terminate all pending motions and close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: 10/6/2010

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 4:10-cv-03962-CW Document 5 Filed 10/06/10 Page 3 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

1

2

4

5

v.

67

8

9

1011

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

Case Number: CV10-03962 CW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on October 6, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Cornell Morrow G53093 High Desert State Prison P.O. Box 3030 Susanville, CA 96127

CORNELL MORROW,

Plaintiff,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIF et al,

Defendant.

Dated: October 6, 2010

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Nikki Riley, Deputy Clerk